

LETTER TO THE EDITOR



The role of new porcine collagen membrane (Mucoderm®) in soft tissue augmentation procedure

Dear Editor-in-Chief,

We would like to highlight the positive impact that a new porcine-derived acellular dermal matrix (Mucoderm®, Botiss Dental, Berlin, Germany) has in soft tissue augmentation, a regeneration which is often required in periodontology or implantology for functional and esthetic purposes to increase the width of keratinized tissue as well as to augment the soft tissue volume. Teeth with gingival recession are usually related with dentin hypersensitivity,^[1] carious and non-carious cervical lesions,^[2] and esthetic discomfort. Moreover, even if the need for a certain width of keratinized gingiva (KG) around teeth still remain controversial, it is a common thinking that the presence of an adequate width of KG is relevant in preserving periodontal health as well as preventing gingival recessions.^[3] The keratinized tissue around implants is called keratinized mucosa (KM). A loss of KM is a common finding as a consequence of bone atrophy^[4] or oral surgical treatments. It has not been demonstrated that the presence or absence of KM is a prognostic factor of implant survival. However, several studies indicate how KM might prevent peri-implant plaque accumulation and inflammation as well as the recession of the mucosa.^[5]

A soft tissue regeneration is generally achieved by either free gingival^[6] or connective tissue grafts.^[7] However, harvesting autologous tissue graft is a time-consuming technique with limited availability at the palatal donor site, and it can be related with post-operative patient's morbidity such as pain, numbness, bleeding, and swelling.^[8] According to the manufacturer, Mucoderm® is a valid alternative to avoid the morbidity of the palatal grafts: Is a three-dimensional acellular stable matrix derived from porcine dermis that both supports revascularization and soft tissue regeneration. It is necessary a rehydration in a sterile saline solution or blood for 5-20 min before the application and, after that procedure; it is possible to adapt the shape and size of the matrix to the defect with a scalpel or scissors. The membrane exposure should be avoided in case of gingival recession coverage. It is allowed an open healing only if the revitalization from the surrounding tissue is guaranteed. The membrane should be fixed to the periosteum by sutures before a tension-free closure of the flap. After 12 weeks of healing, it is for the greatest part replaced by newly formed connective tissue.^[9]

In a very recent study, Schmitt *et al.*^[10] showed in a preclinical dog model that the collagen matrix is statistically no-inferior to the subepithelial connective tissue graft in term of soft tissue volume and thickness increase.

Our clinical findings on humans have confirmed similar conclusions after a 6 months follow-up. In spite of the preliminary results, we believe that Mucoderm® is an effective and predictable substitute of the autologous graft.

Pompa Giorgio, Papi Piero, Di Sero Virginia,
Rosella Daniele

Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Sciences - "Sapienza"
University of Rome, Via Caserta 6, 00161, Roma, Italy.
Email: daniele.rosella@gmail.com

Received 31 March 2016

Accepted 18 April 2016

doi: 10.15713/ins.ijcdmr.101

How to cite this article: Pompa Giorgio,
Papi Piero, Di Sero Virginia, Rosella Daniele,
"The role of new porcine collagen membrane (Mucoderm®)
in soft tissue augmentation procedure," *Int J Contemp Dent Med Rev*,
vol. 2016, Article ID: 010416, 2016. doi: 10.15713/ins.ijcdmr.101

References

1. Miller PD Jr. A classification of marginal tissue recession. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 1985;5:8-13.
2. Tonetti MS, Jepsen S; Working Group of the European Workshop on Periodontology. Clinical efficacy of periodontal plastic surgery procedures: Consensus report of Group 2 of the 10th European Workshop on Periodontology. *J Clin Periodontol* 2014;41 Suppl 15:S36-43.
3. Sanz M, Lorenzo R, Aranda JJ, Martin C, Orsini M. Clinical evaluation of a new collagen matrix (Mucograft prototype) to enhance the width of keratinized tissue in patients with fixed prosthetic restorations: A randomized prospective clinical trial. *J Clin Periodontol* 2009;36:868-76.
4. Arnoux JB, Papatirou A, Weisgold AS. A revised technique for stage-two surgery in the severely resorbed mandible: A technical note. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1998;13:565-8.
5. Chung DM, Oh TJ, Shotwell JL, Misch CE, Wang HL. Significance of keratinized mucosa in maintenance of dental implants with different surfaces. *J Periodontol* 2006;77:1410-20.
6. Sullivan HC, Atkins JH. The role of free gingival grafts in periodontal therapy. *Dent Clin North Am* 1969;13:133-48.
7. Edell A. Clinical evaluation of free connective tissue grafts used to increase the width of keratinized gingiva. *J Clin Periodontol* 1974;1:185-96.

8. Thoma DS, Benic GI, Zwahlen M, Hämmerle CH, Jung RE. A systematic review assessing soft tissue augmentation techniques. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2009;20 Suppl 4:146-65.
9. Rothamel D, Benner M, Fienitz T, Happe A, Kreppel M, Nickenig HJ, *et al.* Biodegradation pattern and tissue integration of native and cross-linked porcine collagen soft tissue augmentation matrices - An experimental study in the rat. *Head Face Med* 2014;10:10.
10. Schmitt CM, Matta RE, Moest T, Humann J, Gammel L, Neukam FW, *et al.* Soft tissue volume alterations after connective tissue grafting at teeth. The subepithelial autologous connective tissue graft (SCTG) vs. A porcine collagen matrix (CM). A preclinical volumetric analysis. *J Clin Periodontol* 2016; Doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12547.